

Servers - Bug #105

[wiki,labs] JavaScript not labeled as free

2012-05-15 03:53 PM - kete

<b>Status:</b>	open	<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Priority:</b>	freedom issue		
<b>Assignee:</b>			
<b>Category:</b>			
<b>Description</b> Nontrivial JavaScript should have a free license, so we can know what our browsers are doing. With libre licenses, we can study the JavaScript source code. The wiki and this lab could use a simple license declaration in the header as described at <a href="http://www.gnu.org/philosophy/javascript-trap.html#AppendixA">http://www.gnu.org/philosophy/javascript-trap.html#AppendixA</a> If the web dev already has a dynamic header that's created from one file, then they could simply add this declaration to that file to cover both sites in whole.  Regards			
<b>Subtasks:</b> Housekeeping # 3411: [redmine]: librejs compatibility			
			open

History

#2 - 2012-05-15 04:01 PM - mtjm

Report a separate issue for each Web application hosted on our servers? We should get appropriate changes integrated upstream for each.

#3 - 2012-05-15 05:20 PM - kete

Ok, I reported this issue to both ChiliProject and MediaWiki. I didn't make a patch because the suggested solution has two options, and the short option requires a URL that would be up to these upstream sources. Thanks for clarifying the subject

#4 - 2012-07-27 09:41 PM - mtjm

Links to the upstream issues:

- [https://bugzilla.wikimedia.org/show\\_bug.cgi?id=36866](https://bugzilla.wikimedia.org/show_bug.cgi?id=36866)
- <https://www.labsproject.org/issues/1014>

#5 - 2012-12-12 01:35 PM - lukeshu

This was fixed in parabolaweb quite some time ago. That said, LibreJS 4.9.2 still complains, but I've always been of the opinion that LibreJS is pretty unreasonable. I mean the license info is clearly documented, if LibreJS wants fancy machine-readable tags, too bad, that's unrealistic for the web. Example: <https://parabolagnulinux.org/static/d3.v2.min.js><https://parabolagnulinux.org/static/d3-3.0.6.min.js>