

Packages - Freedom Issue #1135

[libxslt] The license is custom

2016-11-01 06:43 AM - ahmed751995

Status: not-a-bug	% Done: 0%
Priority: freedom issue	
Assignee:	
Category:	
Description The licence is custom	

History

#1 - 2016-11-01 02:29 PM - fturco

Please be more specific. Which package are you talking about?

#2 - 2016-11-01 03:52 PM - ahmed751995

ahmed751995 wrote:

package (libxslt 1.1.29+23+geb1030d-1)

<https://www.parabola.nu/packages/extra/armv7h/libxslt/>

The licence is custom

#3 - 2016-11-01 03:54 PM - ahmed751995

sorry i forgot to write the package i thought it will be written automatically

#4 - 2016-11-01 04:28 PM - ovruni

- Subject changed from first to [libxslt] license is 'custom'

#5 - 2016-11-01 04:49 PM - ovruni

- Subject changed from [libxslt] license is 'custom' to [libxslt] The license is custom

- File packages_with_custom_licenses.txt added

Custom license does not mean nonfree necessarily. We have more than 1500 packages with custom license (see attached file). You can check about using custom in the license: <https://wiki.archlinux.org/index.php/PKGBUILD#license>

#6 - 2016-11-01 07:54 PM - isacdaavid

- Status changed from open to not-a-bug

We welcome the desire to audit more packages and help us keep Parabola free, but libxslt isn't an offender. This is the license, for anyone interested: <https://git.gnome.org/browse/libxslt/tree/Copyright>. It's the X11 license with the "non-endorsement" sentence adapted for different authors.

As ovruni explained, custom license does not imply nonfree.

Files

packages_with_custom_licenses.txt	53.1 KB	2016-11-01	ovruni
-----------------------------------	---------	------------	--------