Packages - Freedom Issue #1386

[pepper-flash] Pepper flash is not free.

2017-06-30 05:50 AM - eliotime3000

Priority: Assignee: Category:	freedom issue oaken-source			
	oaken-source			
Category				
outogoi J.				
Description				
I don't understand why is PPAPI edition of Flash on Parabola Repo, if it is practically propiestary software (I mean, officially does not exist a source code of the official Flash plugins).				
Otherwise, I wanna think that it was a bug from a bot.				
Related issues:				
Related to Packages - Free	dom Issue #1387: [pepper-flash] Pepper flash is	s not	not-a-bug	2017-06-30

History

#1 - 2017-06-30 05:54 AM - eliotime3000

I'm surprised that why these packages are on Parabola repo:

https://www.parabola.nu/packages/extra/x86_64/pepper-flash/ https://www.parabola.nu/packages/extra/i686/pepper-flash/

#2 - 2017-06-30 06:00 AM - oaken-source

this was added to the official arch repos today:

\$ pacman -Si pepper-flash
[...]
Build Date : Fri 30 Jun 2017 12:30:09 AM CEST
[...]

so thanks for catching it. If it is unfree (looks like it on first glance, but really don't know enough about it to say) then we should probably add it to your-freedom.

#3 - 2017-06-30 08:15 AM - oaken-source

- Subject changed from [pepper-flash] R U kidding me? Propietary Flash Plugin on Parabola? to [pepper-flash] Pepper flash is not free.

#4 - 2017-06-30 09:33 AM - bill-auger

R U kidding me? :)

the PKGBUILD on the arch site shows that it downloads the binary directly from adobe https://git.archlinux.org/svntogit/packages.git/tree/trunk/PKGBUILD?h=packages/flashplugin

the license is "adobe personal computer software licence agreement" - i only had to read a few lines to see it was non-free as hell

#5 - 2017-06-30 10:29 AM - oaken-source

- Assignee set to oaken-source

- Status changed from open to fixed

thanks for looking into this. I added the entry to the blacklist. the next rebuild should pick it up :)

#6 - 2017-06-30 04:37 PM - isacdaavid

- Related to Freedom Issue #1387: [pepper-flash] Pepper flash is not free. added

#7 - 2017-06-30 08:24 PM - isacdaavid

- % Done changed from 0 to 100

it had been blacklisted as an Antergos package under a different name (for the sake of aiding with migration from said distro), then it got renamed in

AUR and elsewhere around November.

this all makes me realize we aren't keeping an eye on those non-Arch packages we are supposedly interested in screening.