

Documentation - Housekeeping #1983

many wiki pages says get package from AUR

2018-09-11 10:06 PM - pribib

Status: open	% Done: 0%
Priority: freedom issue	
Assignee:	
Category:	
Description https://wiki.parabola.nu/Freenet#Installation_and_Setup many others: https://wiki.parabola.nu/index.php?title=Special:WhatLinksHere/Template:AUR&limit=500	

History

#1 - 2019-02-13 08:49 AM - theova

Solved with <https://wiki.parabola.nu/index.php?title=Freenet&action=historysubmit&type=revision&diff=21052&oldid=17494>.

[pribib](#): Thank you for the tip. The change was easily done by replacing `{{AUR|freenet}}` with `{{Pkg|freenet}}`. Correcting such little things improves the wiki and helps a lot.

#2 - 2019-02-14 06:58 AM - bill-auger

- Priority changed from bug to freedom issue
- Status changed from open to fixed
- Tracker changed from Bug to Housekeeping

thanks both to pribib and theova for finding and correcting these stray things that got in

FWIW, i checked to see how many other articles there were using "Template:AUR"

<https://wiki.parabola.nu/Template:AUR>

and there are quite a few (i added this link to the main description)

<https://wiki.parabola.nu/index.php?title=Special:WhatLinksHere/Template:AUR&limit=500>

my guess is that no pages should be suggesting to use the AUR, and that "Template:AUR" should not even exist on the parabola wiki; or it should be a black hole to catch stray flies

#3 - 2019-02-14 06:59 AM - bill-auger

- Status changed from fixed to open
- Subject changed from freenet wiki page says get package from AUR when it is in PCR to many wiki pages says get package from AUR

#4 - 2019-02-14 06:59 AM - bill-auger

- Description updated

#5 - 2019-02-19 07:35 PM - theova

To cite FSDG (from post of [bill-auger](#)):

```
Nor should the distribution refer to third-party repositories that are not committed to only including free software; even if they only have free software today, that may not be true tomorrow. Programs in the system should not suggest installing nonfree plugins, documentation, and so on.
```

So I think it is clear, that the AUR packages should not be mentioned at all in the ParabolaWiki.

I would like to work on this issue the next days.

#6 - 2019-02-19 08:18 PM - bill-auger

sure please do - if you come across any AUR package that looks like it is an essential part of an important article, you can suggest it as packaging request on this bug tracker

#7 - 2019-02-20 08:28 PM - theova

Good news: It is mostly done. There are a few pages in other languages (Español, Русский, ...) left which I do not understand. It would be good, if someone else could have a look at it.

It is to notice, that many AUR packages are now included in [community] or [pcr] or that the functions of AUR packages are included in other packages.

As for the Template:AUR, I've changed it, so that

`Warning: This page points to an AUR package. Please remove the link. Reason: See Issue#1983`

is displayed. It does not have to be exactly this text, but I think having a template with a warning (vs not having a template at all) helps to detect the packages.

Furthermore I have added a section "FSDG" to the page Help:Editing: <https://wiki.parabola.nu/Help:Editing#FSDG> . In the steps https://wiki.parabola.nu/Help:Editing#Importing_from_Archwiki is now clearly stated, that AUR packages shall be removed.