

## libretools - Bug #248

### [libremakepkg] behave more like makepkg

2012-11-28 09:29 AM - lukeshu

<b>Status:</b> fixed	<b>% Done:</b> 100%
<b>Priority:</b> feature	
<b>Assignee:</b> lukeshu	
<b>Category:</b>	
<b>Description</b> It should be makepkg + chroot + libre-checks*  *librenamcap?	
<b>Related issues:</b>	
Blocked by libretools - Bug #247: [libremakepkg] Don't require the user to kn...	<b>fixed</b> <b>2012-11-28</b>
Blocked by libretools - Bug #152: [libremakepkg] support repackaging	<b>not-a-bug</b> <b>2012-07-24</b>
Blocked by libretools - Bug #147: [libremakepkg] doesn't symlink to the package	<b>fixed</b> <b>2012-11-28</b>
Blocked by libretools - Bug #71: [libremakepkg] doesn't stop immediately when...	<b>fixed</b> <b>2012-04-07</b>

### History

#### #2 - 2012-11-28 09:58 AM - mtjm

Is there any use for this freedom check unless the packager has nonfree packages available on their system? If blacklist has foo:foo-libre:, listing foo-libre in dependencies will make updates from foo-libre to fixed foo harder, if blacklist has foo:: then the packager cannot install the package or its dependencies without using non-Parabola repos with the nonfree package. Or is there a different case when this check is useful?

(It also doesn't seem arch-specific unlike the rest of what libremakepkg does.)

#### #3 - 2012-11-28 07:40 PM - lukeshu

The checks will include things more than they currently do. For example, checking license files, for some common machine-checkable issues in the source directory, etc. Also, it dissables networking during build() and package(), as some software cleverly tries to download binaries during the build process.

#### #5 - 2013-05-02 06:11 PM - lukeshu

Done in git; invocation is almost identical.