

Packages - Bug #2634

[pcr nonprism core extra community] Arch migration

2020-02-15 07:28 PM - kathor

Status: unconfirmed	% Done: 0%
Priority: bug	
Assignee:	
Category:	
Description	
Failed to transition from arch x32.	
Attached because of your bad decision of: "Sorry, that post has too many non-dictionary words. Consider putting long command-line outputs and URLs into a file and attaching it with the 'Browse' button below."	
EDIT: Include original bug report from attachment	
I followed directions to migrate from Arch in a librebooted ThinkPad T60 (/etc/makepackage.conf, CARCH="pentium4") During the process, several issues arised. Finally I was not able to transition to Parabola.	
1. Issue 1 pacman: All trackers return "not valid 404". - "Solution": replace "\$arch" at the end of the string of any mirror with "i686" at /etc/pacman.d/mirrorlist	
1. Issue 2 pacman: Returns not signed error. Packages (all) do not have a valid architecture. - "Solution": pacman.conf to not check for signatures	
1. Issue 3 -- pacman -Suu (after pacman.conf modification) pacman: Packages (all) do not have a valid architecture.	

History

#1 - 2020-03-09 01:01 PM - bill-auger

sorry, but that was not a bad decision - it was necessary for preventing spam; which was making the bug tracker unusable - the parabola wiki is still not accepting public contributions for that reason - the alternative was to close off public access to the bug tracker entirely, as we did do with the wiki; and to accept bug reports only on the mailing list

so, the very fact that you were able to post this bug report on the website, including all of the relevant information in the attachment, proves that it was the best decision, given the options, to permit you to do so - it was the only way to accommodate people who prefer to use a web browser for communications, and those who wish to retain maximal anonymity regarding their communications

#2 - 2020-03-09 07:48 PM - theova

- Description updated

To make it simpler to read, I have added the report from the attachment to the description.

#3 - 2020-04-28 08:37 AM - bill-auger

the main problem with migrating from arch32 to parabola, is that arch32 has three different arches - i486, i686, and pentium4 - it's default pacman.conf has arch=auto; which forces an upgrade to pentium4, if the machine supports it - im not sure if there is a clean migration path from arch32 pentium4 to parabola - i would suggest making that arch change to i686 in the arch32 system firstly, then pacman -Syyuu; before trying to migrate to parabola

Files

issue	730 Bytes	2020-02-15	kathor
-------	-----------	------------	--------