

## Packages - Freedom Issue #2909

### fwupd has a nonfree repository Was: Do check fwupd

2020-10-11 01:11 AM - GNUtoo

<b>Status:</b> fixed	<b>% Done:</b> 0%
<b>Priority:</b> bug	
<b>Assignee:</b> GNUtoo	
<b>Category:</b>	
<b>Description</b>	
We ship the fwupd package unmodified from the various archlinux GNU/Linux distributions.	
On i686 it comes from community:	
<pre>\$ pacman -sS fwupd community/fwupd 1.4.6-1.0 [installed]   Simple daemon to allow session software to update firmware community/gnome-firmware 3.36.0-2.0   Manage firmware on devices supported by fwupd</pre>	
fwupd is a daemon that is meant to update various firmwares <sup>1</sup> regardless of if they are free or not.	
So it's a bit like a package manager for firmwares.	
So if it has not been done already, it would be a good idea to check if its configuration is ok or not.	
As at least one firmware (for the color huges if I recall the name well) is free software and known to be available through this system, so it might be a good idea to check if free firmwares are completely ok and keep such firmwares if that's easy to do.	
<sup>1</sup> Here firmware means code that is in various devices and not part of the operating system, so from SSD firmwares to even BIOS/UEFI.	
<b>Related issues:</b>	
Related to Packages - Freedom Issue #1035: [your-system-sanity]: Non-Free Sof...	<b>in progress</b>
Related to Packages - Freedom Issue #3299: [kinfocenter]: blacklisted fwupd i...	<b>fixed</b>
Related to Packages - Freedom Issue #3000: [fwupd]: is an auto-updater , may ...	<b>unconfirmed</b>

## History

### #1 - 2020-10-11 01:30 AM - GNUtoo

I found some highly suspicious files:

```
$ pacman -Q -l fwupd | grep -i lvfs
fwupd /etc/fwupd/remotes.d/lvfs-testing.conf
fwupd /etc/fwupd/remotes.d/lvfs.conf
[...]
$ cat /etc/fwupd/remotes.d/lvfs.conf
[fwupd Remote]

# this remote provides metadata and firmware marked as 'stable' from the LVFS
Enabled=true
Title=Linux Vendor Firmware Service
Keyring=gnupg
MetadataURI=https://cdn.fwupd.org/downloads/firmware.xml.gz
ReportURI=https://fwupd.org/lvfs/firmware/report
OrderBefore=fwupd
```

<https://cdn.fwupd.org/downloads/firmware.xml.gz> seem to contain references to many nonfree firmwares.

### #2 - 2020-10-11 09:44 AM - bill-auger

- Related to Freedom Issue #1035: [your-system-sanity]: Non-Free Software From Third-party Package Managers (TPPM) added

### #3 - 2020-10-11 09:55 AM - bill-auger

GNUtoo -

i notice that you do not fill in the descriptions for new tickets that you open; but instead add a secondary comment with the details later - the initial ticket form should have a text field for the description - i wonder is the interface broken for you maybe

this is also peculiar, because some people are not allowed to submit the ticket if the description field is empty - redmine normally rejects the post, with an error message: "description can not be empty" - i have not investigated that, but i assumed it was a global behavior

#### #4 - 2020-10-11 05:24 PM - GNUtoo

i notice that you do not fill in the descriptions for new tickets that you open; but instead add a secondary comment with the details later - the initial ticket form should have a text field for the description - i wonder is the interface broken for you maybe

The reason is simple:

- The first description cannot be edited later on
- I often make mistakes also because I've no preview without JavaScript<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> JavaScript isn't bad per-se but here it acts as arbitrary execution of code, can be viewed as as a security issue, depending on your threat model. It is a good practice to not tie the security of one's computer to the computer(s) serving serving the JavaScript code and all the infrastructure in between (SSL PKI).

#### #5 - 2020-10-11 11:02 PM - bill-auger

ok, understood - the description can be edited; but it does require javascript to expose the form

this is the HTML element definition:

```
<span id="issue_description_and_toolbar" style="display:none">
```

you could probably do some magic by injecting some CSS with some styling tool, to make the form always exposed

```
#issue_description_and_toolbar { display: block ; }
```

or with local javascript/greasemonkey:

```
document.getElementById('issue_description_and_toolbar').show()
```

a better solution would be to prevent redmine from hiding it for admins and the OP - im sure thats a simple change

#### #6 - 2022-03-11 03:10 PM - GNUtoo

- Status changed from *unconfirmed* to *confirmed*

- Subject changed from *Do check fwupd* to *fwupd has a nonfree repository Was: Do check fwupd*

Guix has a patch with a patch to remove lvfs: <https://issues.guix.gnu.org/46278>

#### #7 - 2022-03-11 03:28 PM - GNUtoo

- Assignee set to *GNUtoo*

I've just blacklisted the package in the blacklist repository, and also gnome-firmware whose only goal seem to be a graphical interface on top of fwupd.

```
f084014 Add gnome-firmware  
1e458d4 Add fwupd
```

**#8 - 2022-03-11 03:28 PM - GNUtoo**

- Status changed from confirmed to fixed

**#9 - 2022-06-22 01:28 AM - Megver83**

Do we really want this? this issue is similar to the ones of third party package managers (pip, npm, flatpak) which offer both free and non-free software. However, the big difference here is that fwupd manages firmware updates, which are a critical component. I think it should not be blacklisted, specially because it provides updates for coreboot devices (e.g. System76)

**#10 - 2022-06-22 05:28 AM - bill-auger**

- Description updated

**#11 - 2022-06-22 05:38 AM - bill-auger**

- Related to Freedom Issue #3299: [kinfocenter]: blacklisted fwupd is a dependency added

**#12 - 2022-06-22 05:44 AM - avalos**

However, the big difference here is that fwupd manages firmware updates, which are a critical component.

Firmware is indeed critical, so maybe we should not allow the manufacturer to introduce backdoors or shady stuff in further versions.

I think it should not be blacklisted, specially because it provides updates for coreboot devices (e.g. System76)

I don't think that's relevant at all. FSDG doesn't care about how important it is for you to update your blob-infested System76 laptop, but rather about shipping a system that is as free as possible, which blacklisting fwupd would help achieve.

Do we really want this? this issue is similar to the ones of third party package managers (pip, npm, flatpak) which offer both free and non-free software.

Well, seeing it from that perspective, then yeah, maybe fwupd shouldn't be blacklisted, it's just that maybe most firmware is proprietary. I don't know, avoiding proprietary firmware feels like one of the main reasons things like Parabola and Linux-libre exist, so it doesn't feel right to have a tool like this.

Let me know what y'all think.

**#13 - 2022-06-22 05:37 PM - gap**

See also [#3000](#).

As mentioned there, we can either:

1. Blacklist entirely
2. Blacklist and replace with libre version which patches out references to LVFS
3. Number 2 but also add references to an LVFS replacement repo which only hosts libre firmware

As 3 is not yet possible due to no such repo existing and 2 would incur a maintenance overhead for a package that doesn't do much in the free world because no FSDG-compliant repo exists to use it with, 1 is the only option we have at the moment.

Please add any other options if you think of one.

**#14 - 2022-09-06 08:00 PM - bill-auger**

- Related to Freedom Issue #3000: [fwupd]: is an auto-updater , may also download proprietary firmware added