

Packages - Freedom Issue #294

Remove pypy from blacklist

2013-02-12 07:49 AM - johkra

Status: fixed	% Done: 100%
Priority: freedom issue	
Assignee:	
Category:	
Description	
Older versions of pypy included pstats.py ¹ and profile.py ² with a problematic license. With version 2.0 beta 1 (available from the Arch repos) these files have been relicensed under the Apache 2 license.	
Unless there are other freedom issues (these are the ones given to me when I last asked about it), it would be nice if pypy could be removed from the blacklist.	
[1] https://bitbucket.org/pypy/pypy/src/07e08e9c885ca67d89bcc304e45a32346daea2fa/lib-python/2.7/pstats.py?at=release-2.0-beta-1	
[2] https://bitbucket.org/pypy/pypy/src/07e08e9c885ca67d89bcc304e45a32346daea2fa/lib-python/2.7/profile.py?at=release-2.0-beta-1	

History

#2 - 2013-02-12 08:21 AM - mtjm

The PyPy source tarball has two JARs (pypy/translator/jvm/src/jna.jar and pypy/translator/jvm/src/jasmin.jar). Can you find their source code, check their licenses and make the PKGBUILD build them from source (preferably using them from separate packages)?

#3 - 2013-02-12 10:53 AM - johkra

Both of these files are not needed and not used during the build. I do not know how we handle extraneous non-free files in the source tarball if the resulting package is not at all affected by it.

I personally would keep the Arch packages in the repos, but fix the PKGBUILD in abs-libre. I've attached a SRCBUILD which deletes these files and the adapted PKGBUILD to this comment. I've also put the cleaned source tarball at <http://parabolaweb.eu/src/pypy-2.0beta1-1-any.src.tar.xz>.

#4 - 2013-02-18 02:01 AM - johkra

Anything more I can do to get this resolved?

#5 - 2015-02-16 08:34 PM - Anonymous

- Assignee set to Anonymous

#6 - 2015-02-20 02:35 PM - Anonymous

- % Done changed from 0 to 100

- Status changed from open to fixed

Files

SRCBUILD	482 Bytes	2013-02-12	johkra
PKGBUILD	1.18 KB	2013-02-12	johkra