# Packages - Packaging Request #3109

# [cutefish-wallpapers] Add older free version, Was: Proprietary package

2021-10-13 05:23 PM - gap

Status:	open	% Done:	0%
Priority:	bug		
Assignee:			
Category:			

# Description

The license of the package is incorrectly listed as the generic "GPL" whilst on its repository the correct information is listed: licensed under the proprietary Unsplash License.

### History

#### #1 - 2021-10-13 09:44 PM - telur

https://unsplash.com/license

looks like generic gpl to me, maybe should be consulted with gpl expert or fsf license compliance lab.

the license status is still on debate

https://wpandlegalstuff.com/unsplash-apl-compatibility-concern-red-herring/

#### #2 - 2021-10-14 05:13 PM - gap

Unsplash deliberately chose to switch from CC0 to this new license for the purpose of stamping out competition with an anti-competitive license, which states unacceptable usage restrictions, so AFAICT it must be nonfree, otherwise they would have wasted their time.

#### #3 - 2021-10-14 06:29 PM - avalos

According to the link (<a href="https://unsplash.com/license">https://unsplash.com/license</a>), you can't sell Unsplash licensed photos without significant modifications, which by itself makes them nonfree. It also prohibits compiling photos from Unsplash to replicate a similar or competing service, which makes it even less free.

#### #4 - 2022-01-21 01:35 AM - gap

Bump: the only required action is for the package to be blacklisted, but I can't because I (presumably) don't have commit access.

### #5 - 2022-03-31 12:28 AM - GNUtoo

- Status changed from unconfirmed to open
- Subject changed from [cutefish-wallpapers] Proprietary package to [cutefish-wallpapers] Add older free version, Was: Proprietary package
- Tracker changed from Freedom Issue to Packaging Request

Hi,

I've added it to the blacklist.

Since it may have been free before, I'm moving it to Packaging requests.

## #6 - 2022-03-31 12:35 AM - gap

Awesome, thank you.

2024-04-25 1/1