

Packages - Bug #3164

Freedom Issue # 972 (forwarded upstream): TeXLive freedom verification

[texlive-most]: error: command failed to execute correctly

2022-01-17 07:22 PM - maddox

Status:	info needed	% Done:	0%
Priority:	bug		
Assignee:			
Category:			
Description			
I have tried to install texlive-bin, this worked, but I cannot get Org output to PDF as it uses LaTeX, then I tried installing texlive-most:			
<pre>@Packages (1) texlive-core-2020.55416-1.par2 Total Installed Size: 404.86 MiB :: Proceed with installation? [Y/n] :: Retrieving packages... texlive-core-2020.55416-1.par2-any is up to date (1/1) checking keys in keyring [#####] 100% (1/1) checking package integrity [#####] 100% (1/1) loading package files [#####] 100% (1/1) checking for file conflicts [#####] 100% (1/1) checking available disk space [#####] 100% :: Processing package changes... (1/1) installing texlive-core [#####] 100% >>> updmap custom entries should go into /etc/texmf/web2c/updmap-local.cfg >>> fmtutil custom entries should go into /etc/texmf/web2c/fmtutil-local.cnf NB: To setup ConTeXt and the lua(la)tex font db, see http://wiki.archlinux.org/index.php/TeX_Live Optional dependencies for texlive-core dialog: for texconfig [installed] ghostscript: for epstopdf, epspdf and other ConTeXt tools [installed] java-runtime: for utilities like arara, texplate [installed] perl-tk: for texdoctk psutils: to manipulate the output of dvips python: for pythontex [installed] python2: for dviasm [installed] ruby: for old ConTeXt MkII and epspdf [installed] tlutils: can be useful when installing Type1 fonts :: Running post-transaction hooks... (1/4) Refreshing PackageKit... (2/4) Updating TeXLive filename database... (3/4) Updating TeXLive format files... kpathsea: Running mktexmf csr10 mktexmf: Running mf-nowin -programe=mf \mode:=ljfour; mag:=1; ; nonstopmode; input csr10 This is METAFONT, Version 2.71828182 (TeX Live 2021/Parabola GNU/Linux-libre) (preloaded base=mf) ---! /root/.texlive/texmf-var/web2c/metafont/mf.base made by different executable version (Fatal base file error; I'm stymied) grep: csr10.log: No such file or directory mktexmf: `mf-nowin -programe=mf \mode:=ljfour; mag:=1; ; nonstopmode; input csr10' failed to make csr10.tfm. kpathsea: Appending font creation commands to missfont.log. kpathsea: Running mktexmf csr10</pre>			

```

mktexmf: Running mf-nowin -programe=mf \mode:=ljfour; mag:=1; ; nonstopmode; input csr10
This is METAFONT, Version 2.71828182 (TeX Live 2021/Parabola GNU/Linux-libre) (preloaded base=mf)
---! /root/.texlive/texmf-var/web2c/metafont/mf.base made by different executable version
(Fatal base file error; I'm stymied)
grep: csr10.log: No such file or directory
mktexmf: `mf-nowin -programe=mf \mode:=ljfour; mag:=1; ; nonstopmode; input csr10' failed to make
  csr10.tfm.
kpathsea: Appending font creation commands to missfont.log.

kpathsea: Running mktexmf csr10
mktexmf: Running mf-nowin -programe=mf \mode:=ljfour; mag:=1; ; nonstopmode; input csr10
This is METAFONT, Version 2.71828182 (TeX Live 2021/Parabola GNU/Linux-libre) (preloaded base=mf)
---! /root/.texlive/texmf-var/web2c/metafont/mf.base made by different executable version
(Fatal base file error; I'm stymied)
grep: csr10.log: No such file or directory
mktexmf: `mf-nowin -programe=mf \mode:=ljfour; mag:=1; ; nonstopmode; input csr10' failed to make
  csr10.tfm.
kpathsea: Appending font creation commands to missfont.log.
fmtutil [ERROR]: running `luatex -ini -jobname=luacsplain -programe=luacsplain -etex csplain.ini
  </dev/null' return status: 1
fmtutil [ERROR]: returning error due to option --strict
fmtutil [WARNING]: inifile mex.ini for mex/pdftex not found.
fmtutil [ERROR]: running `xetex -ini -jobname=pdfcsplain -programe=pdfcsplain -etex csplain.ini
  </dev/null' return status: 1
fmtutil [ERROR]: returning error due to option --strict
fmtutil [ERROR]: running `pdftex -ini -jobname=pdfcsplain -programe=pdfcsplain -etex -enc csplai
n-utf8.ini </dev/null' return status: 1
fmtutil [ERROR]: returning error due to option --strict
fmtutil [ERROR]: running `luatex -ini -jobname=pdfcsplain -programe=pdfcsplain -etex csplain.ini
  </dev/null' return status: 1
fmtutil [ERROR]: returning error due to option --strict
fmtutil [WARNING]: inifile pdfmex.ini for pdfmex/pdftex not found.
fmtutil [ERROR]: running `pdftex -ini -jobname=csplain -programe=csplain -etex -enc csplain-utf8
.ini </dev/null' return status: 1
fmtutil [ERROR]: returning error due to option --strict
fmtutil [ERROR]: running `pdftex -ini -jobname=utf8mex -programe=utf8mex -enc *utf8mex.ini </dev
/null' return status: 1
fmtutil [ERROR]: returning error due to option --strict
error: command failed to execute correctly
(4/4) Updating TeXLive font maps...

```

History

#1 - 2022-01-17 09:21 PM - theova

This could probably be a known but harmless error, see [#2151](#).

Otherwise: Can you provide a minimal working example to test the misbehaviour?

#2 - 2022-01-18 05:58 AM - maddox

I have quoted what I have seen. Sadly I cannot debug myself why is that happening or taking place. That appears to be package maintainer responsibility.

If I get any package under my responsibility I would make sure to make it workable.

#3 - 2022-01-18 08:50 AM - bill-auger

- Parent task set to [#972](#)

- Status changed from *unconfirmed* to *info needed*

- Description updated

- Subject changed from *texlive-most: error: command failed to execute correctly* to *[texlive-most]: error: command failed to execute correctly*

this is probably just more of the apparently inconsequential noise, which texlive has always produced, and users have been complaining about for as long

maddox - are you volunteering? - texlive is due for a freedom review - there has been an open call for volunteers for some time [#972](#) - there may no longer be any reason to handle it - if the texlive arch packages can be shown to be FSDG-fit, that would be the ideal outcome

#4 - 2022-01-24 09:16 PM - maddox

I am volunteering, but the page is long and I can help if somebody is to give me specific assignments.

#5 - 2022-01-29 04:43 PM - oaken-source

first thing to do would be to determine whether the installed tex distribution is capable of typesetting a standard tex example to pdf. your issue description above doesn't show if the package is actually broken or not, just that there are known errors during installation.