

Packages - Packaging Request #3165

prusa-slicer 2.4.0 is out , please to update it

2022-01-22 07:22 AM - lanlink

Status: wont-fix	% Done: 0%
Priority: bug	
Assignee:	
Category:	
Description <ul style="list-style-type: none">• steps to reproduce: eg: 1) # pacman --debug -Syyuu base your-freedom # try me first (systemd) or # pacman --debug -Syyuu base your-freedom your-initfreedom # try me first (openrc) 2) # pacman -S foo-openrc 3) \$ foo --print hello• expected result: eg: i expected foo to print hello• actual result: eg: instead, it prints: "ERROR: invalid argument (Is the 'foo' daemon running?)"	

History

#1 - 2022-01-22 09:23 AM - oaken-source

- Status changed from open to wont-fix

Please don't use this bugtracker to report out-of-date packages.

Instead, to report parabola-packaged packages out of date, please use the "Flag out-of-date" option on the package overview on www.parabola.nu

#2 - 2022-01-22 10:06 AM - bill-auger

generally, 'out-of-date' are not bugs, nor packaging requests - there could be a category for them on the bug tracker; but there is not, intentionally - for existing packages, which could be upgraded to a new upstream release, the 'out-of-date' flags on the packages website is the proper mechanism, for users to indicate that

that alone, justifies closing this ticket; but i will make an exception, to explain/address the request, for the sake of documentation/education/pedantry

the standard arch 'prusa-slicer' is version 2.3.3 - the parabola mission statement promises to keep in sync with arch, whenever possible; so 2.3.3 is the correct parabola version today - there are no open bug reports for that package (arch nor parabola); so 2.3.3 is the *only* version, which parabola users should want to use today - new upstream releases of that software are not significant to parabola; because that package is managed exclusively by arch

the package is flagged 'out-of-date'; so the new upstream release is probably known to the arch maintainer already - the arch package is only one month old though; its upgrade is probably not urgent - generally, the package maintainer knows best, how to maintain each package - new upstream releases are not always desirable, for the sanity of the OS as a whole

the most important misconception to clarify though, is that upstream releases are generally not interesting to any typical user of the OS - the arch 'out-of-date' flags mechanism, is not a common feature of distros - it mainly indicates that arch has a high percentage of atypical expert users, who are willing to help the maintainers - ie: most arch and parabola users can simply ignore the versions of everything - they are truly irrelevant/inconsequential to the experience of most users

in summary:

- out-of-date packages are not packaging requests for the bug tracker
- users may notify package maintainers of out-of-date packages, via the packages website
- the package maintainer knows best, how to maintain each package
- most packaging decisions are made by arch
- parabola keeps in sync with arch software
- parabola will normally not over-ride arch's packaging decisions
- newer is not always better
- it is wiser to prefer "better", where ever that differs from "newer"
- the 'out-of-date' flags are non-essential; but purely informative (an "advanced nerd thing")
- most users of most distros, are rarely affected by versions of anything, so can ignore versions
- the previous point is true, precisely *because* the packager may decide that the newest upstream release, is *not* the best version for the system,

as it is, at the time