

Packages - Packaging Request #698

Split 'Libre' repo to 'Libre' and 'Parabola rebranded' repos

2015-04-17 06:25 PM - Anonymous

| | | | |
|---|----------|----------------|----|
| Status: | wont-fix | % Done: | 0% |
| Priority: | wish | | |
| Assignee: | | | |
| Category: | | | |
| Description | | | |
| I want to use libre repo but I need to deal with "Parabola rebranded" packages, because I don't want to rebrand (yet). Please reconsider splitting libre repo to 'Libre' and 'Parabola', the latter to contain "parabola rebranded" packages (like abs, pacman, grub, syslinux, filesystem, etc). | | | |

History

#1 - 2015-04-17 09:08 PM - mtjm

This requires either including Arch-branded packages (violating the FSDG and misleading our and their users) or maintaining a separate set of unbranded packages.

#2 - 2015-04-17 09:15 PM - lukeshu

daimonion: What do you want to accomplish?

mtjm: Not at all. I think daimonion is asking that we have a [parabola] repo with pacman, filesystem, etc, and not have them in [libre]; that is: the exact packages we have now, but some of them moved into a different repo. I think he's trying to use [libre] on Arch without switching to Parabola.

#3 - 2015-04-17 10:34 PM - Anonymous

[lukeshu](#): Exactly, I want to use [libre] repo on Arch but without entirely switching to Parabola (at least for now).

Reasoning: I'm already using IceWeasel from your [libre] repo, and I'm thinking of using 3 or 4 more packages (so that I don't have to compile libre versions of them myself, like I'm doing now), and updating them automatically would be nice thing to have. But I don't want to use Parabola's versions of pacman, abs, grub, filesystem and other packages. At least not for now. I've been using Arch for 7 years now, and it's kinda hard to change it to something new all of a sudden. :)

#4 - 2015-04-18 08:08 PM - alfplayer

I think switching to [libre] is easy enough so I recommed this issue gets closed. I prefer the other direction, that is, joining repositories rather than splitting.

Anyway, some ideas to script it, to show how to do it now:

- cron a script using rsstail which fetches <https://www.parabola.nu/feeds/packages/>, greps the packages you want (including dependencies), and then pacman -U <https://www.parabola.nu/packages/libre/i686/iceweasel/download/>
- Similar but using any git notifier like "git dude" fetching abslibre.git

#5 - 2015-04-18 08:32 PM - lukeshu

I use this script <https://lukeshu.com/dump/arch-import.sh> to make a local repo of specific packages to import from a foreign repo. I mostly use it for testing if a bug is present in the Arch version of a package also. It shouldn't be hard to adapt it to go the other way.

As for switching to Parabola: I strongly encourage you to. It is almost exactly Arch, but instead of taking the extra steps to be free, you'd be taking the steps to use whatever non-free stuff you want/need.

alfplayer: Meanwhile, I do support splitting [libre] into [{core,extra,community}-libre] or similar :)

#6 - 2022-04-23 08:46 PM - bill-auger

- Status changed from open to wont-fix

i dont think this could be done in a logical way - libre is primarily for blacklist replacements - if someone wants to use the libre repo, it is obviously because of the blacklist replacements, which pacman is one - parabola's pacman behaves exactly as arch pacman does, for all that most people would notice

i would be in favor of a new repo which would take all libre packages which are not blacklist replacements - that makes more sense to me; but i dont think that is what the OP is asking for - it is not obvious where is the line that the OP wants to draw